

A66 Community Liaison Group

| Title: | Community Liaison Group Stephen Bank to Carkin Moor | Revision / Version: | V1 | |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Status: | Draft | | | |
| Date: | 11/03/2021 | Reason for Issue: | Information/Action | |
| Location: | Microsoft Teams | Time of Meeting: | 17:00-18:00 | |
| Drafted By: | Katia Nethercot | Note: | HE- Highways England | |
| Attendees: | Highways England: | | | |
| | Andrew Henshaw (AH) – Mainsgil Angus Thompson (CAT) – Richmon Claire Stewart (CS) – Chair of Eas Colin Simpson (CS) – Co-represe David Bottoms (DB) - Hutton Mag Ken Bell (KB) – Ravensworth Villa Phil Gate (PG) - Parish Clerk for English Russell (RR) – West Layton Roman Pronyszyn (RP) – Chair of | ondshire and North t & West Layton Parting Dalton village na Representative ge Representative ast and West Layt n Representative Aldbrough St Joh | n County Councilor arish Meeting e e ton n Parish Council | |
| Apologies/ Unknown | Andrew Henshaw (AH) – Mainsgil Angus Thompson (CAT) – Richmon Claire Stewart (CS) – Chair of Eas Colin Simpson (CS) – Co-represe David Bottoms (DB) - Hutton Mag Ken Bell (KB) – Ravensworth Villa Phil Gate (PG) - Parish Clerk for English Russell (RR) – West Layton Roman Pronyszyn (RP) – Chair of Sally Zaranto (SZ) – Clerk for Was | ondshire and North t & West Layton Parting Dalton village na Representative ge Representative ast and West Layt n Representative Aldbrough St Joh | n County Councilor arish Meeting e e ton n Parish Council | |

| Item | Description (Headings reference slideshow slide title) | Action | Responsible Person(s) |
|------|--|--------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Introductions | | |
| 2. | Presentation | | |
| 2.1 | RR – Enquired whether we are able to share the presentation for those who couldn't attend. | | |
| | AP/EK- Confirmed that this should be fine as there's not any sensitive information. | | |
| 3. | Presentation | | |
| 3.1 | EK: Introduced the Community Liaison Group, objectives of the session and terms of engagement. AP: Introduced the scheme. TE: Presented scheme at local scale. | | |
| 4. | Accessing Mainsgill | | |

| Item | Description (Headings reference slideshow slide title) | Action | Responsible Person(s) |
|------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 4.1 | CAT: Enquired whether there is going to be a service road at the Moor Lane junctions and how traffic is going to access Mainsgill. TE: Explained that there is proposed to be an all movement junction with slip roads going on and off Moor Lane and connecting to the detrunked A66. This junction will allow access to the A66 from the local highway network in both an eastbound and westbound direction and will keep traffic separated from traffic moving in other directions. The detrunked A66 is ultimately adopted by the local highway authority for use as a local road to allow access through the area without the need to travel on the A66 | | . 3.65.1(6) |
| 5 | Actions from January | | |
| 5.1 | PG: Asked for an updated on previous discussions with East and West Layton Parish where HE agreed to look at the Moor Lane junction and provide further feedback. TE: Advised that the design team are currently undertaking this work and will discuss further once the work is complete. *See 2021-01-19 Minutes "Responses by the integrated design team to the comments made by the East and West Layton Parish members", and "Next Steps". Key actions: Parish member walk-through. Claire Stewart to email the A66 team (a66ntp@highwaysengland.co.uk) stating the environmental concern locations and an onsite walk through will be conducted in the future, when it is safe to do so. Junction location change consideration. The project design team will now create a new piece of work, to understand if and where we could move the junction to in order to consider the Parish's main concerns. Update meeting. When the design team have a response to the junction location change consideration, there will be an update meeting | Junction location change consideration. | Design Team |
| 6. | called. | | |
| 6.1 | CS: Enquired where the existing A66 will join the proposed A66. TE: Explained that vehicles would move onto/off of the A66 at the grade separated junction at Moor Lane, providing some separation for local/strategic traffic. RP: Enquired whether the grey road shown on the plan is going to be the local access road. TE: Confirmed and advised that this will be the what is currently the existing A66 which will act as a local access road. | | |
| 7. | Design Progression | | |
| 7.1 | AH: Enquired whether there's a lot of fine tuning to be done around Mainsgill Farm or if the design set now in stone. TE: Advised that there is ongoing fine tuning and the feedback from these groups and other ongoing discussions with landowners/statutory consultees will help the design team to finalise the design. AP: Explained the proposed timeline. CAT: Enquires whether there is certainty that things are going to start in 2024. AP: Explained the statutory process for the development consent order. It was confirmed that the team are on track to submit the application in 2022 and are working towards starting construction in 2024. | | |
| 8. | Sharing Designs | | |
| 8.1 | CS: Pointed out that it would be helpful to local residents if HE could share some of the designs with particular attention to the elevations (levels). Requests that in the next meeting HE can share the designs at the stage they are at. AP: Advised that HE will take this away due to the ongoing consulting of the effected stakeholders, and that HE will find out when the earliest opportunity to be able to share this would be. | Identify opportunity to share designs, specifically elevations, in the future. | AP and EK |
| 9. | Relief measures for minor roads | | 1 |

| Item | Description (Headings reference slideshow slide title) | Action | Responsible Person(s) |
|------|--|---|--------------------------|
| 9.1 | DB: Enquired whether the project will include 'relief' measures for the minor roads when there is a major incident on the A66. TE: Advised that this will be taken away and consulted with the modelling team to review the model to see if they can identify any hot spots. | Review model to ascertain if hot spots are displayed. | TE |
| 10. | Public consultation | | |
| 10.1 | RR: Enquired when the consultation is expected to start, and if HE intends to run it in the same way as the last one, online, in person/mixed. Enquired whether the consultation will include the environmental impact reports. AP: Advised that HE are currently looking at consultation being in the summer. We're working on virtual engagement techniques and ways we can safely get into local venues. RR: Pointed out that doing it online excludes a lot of people who may not have the means to connect. Recommends that a physical drop in by appointment would aid inclusion. AP: Advised that HE are looking at doing that and not relying solely on the online consultation. At the statutory consultation stage there will be reports available including the environmental reports. TE: Stated that these will be accompanied with a non-technical summary document too. | | |
| 11. | Congestion on single carriageway | | |
| 11.1 | AH: Stated that he is concerned regarding congestion on the A66. Enquired whether congestion is caused when two traffic lanes merge into 1. TE: Explained that typically congestion can be caused when two lanes are merged into one however, however HE are unable to comment on this particular instance. The scheme is also looking to address safety issues on the existing A66 and by dualling, any congestion caused by the merging of lanes should be addressed. | | |
| 12. | Next Steps & Meeting Close | | |
| 12.1 | EK: Enquired how often the group would like to meet. Advised the community members to send any questions via email if they would like to reach out. Advised that the meeting minutes will be sent out with the PowerPoint slides from the meeting. Checked if there are any more questions or comments. * No further questions or comments were received. Meeting closed. | | |